

REMARKS

The Office Communication mailed January 17, 2007 provided that the reply filed October 30, 2006 was not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of omitting a substitute specification and the Office Communication of January 17, 2007 required a substitute specification to be filed in the present application.

In an interview with the Examiner on February 5, 2007, and as noted in the interview summary mailed February 9, 2007, the Examiner has withdrawn the requirement for the substitute specification in the Office Communication of January 17, 2007.

Accordingly, the Interview Summary of February 9, 2007 and the present reply are fully responsive to the Office Communication of January 17, 2007 and there being no further outstanding requirements in the Office Communication of January 17, 2007, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the Office Communication of January 17, 2007 or entry of this Response and the Interview Summary of February 9, 2007 as a full response to the Office Communication of January 17, 2007 (as the case may be), and entry and consideration of the October 30, 2006 reply by now being fully responsive to the prior Office Action.

Thus, consideration of the October 30, 2006 reply by now being fully responsive to the prior Office Action is respectfully requested.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Response, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: February 20, 2007

By: 

Mehdi Sheikerz
Registration No. 41,307

1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501